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ABSTRACT
Swift is an open-source programming language and Apple’s recommended choice for app development. Given the global widespread use of Apple devices, the ability to analyze Swift programs has significant impact on millions of users. Although static analysis frameworks exist for various computing platforms, there is a lack of comparable tools for Swift. While LLVM and Clang support some analyses for Swift, they are either primarily dynamic analyses or not suitable for deeper analyses of Swift programs such as taint tracking. Moreover, other existing tools for Swift only help enforce code styles and best practices.

In this paper, we present SWAN, an open-source framework that allows robust program analyses of Swift programs using IBM’s T.J. Watson Libraries for Analysis (WALA). To provide a wide range of analyses for Swift, SWAN leverages the well-established libraries in WALA. SWAN is publicly available at https://github.com/themaplelab/swan. We have also made a screencast available at https://youtu.be/AZwfhOGqwFs.
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• Theory of computation → Program analysis.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Static analysis reasons about the potential runtime behaviour of a program without necessarily executing it. Using this technique may help protect user privacy [1] and optimize applications [2]. Despite the potential benefits of static analysis, there is a lack of available tools for Swift [4]. Apple’s recommended choice for development on iOS [15] and macOS [18]. In 2019, the web traffic analysis tool StatCounter estimated that iOS devices comprised approximately 24.79% of mobile devices in the world [10] and macOS devices accounted for 16.46% of desktop devices [9]. Trends also show that the popularity of both operating systems in 2020 has increased by 4.41% and 3.82%, respectively. Therefore, the ability to analyze Swift apps has significant impact on millions of users around the world.

To bridge the gap between the increasing popularity of Swift and the lack of available analysis tools, we introduce SWAN, an open-source static analysis framework for Swift. We designed SWAN with the app developer as our main target audience. Therefore, SWAN offers both a Command-Line Interface (CLI) and a Graphical User Interface (GUI). Both interfaces offer the same functionalities but address different use cases. For example, the CLI enables the developer to integrate SWAN in their continuous integration workflow, providing analysis results at major development milestones. On the other hand, the GUI enables SWAN to provide the developer with on-demand analysis results directly in VSCode. This relatively immediate feedback helps developers focus on the task at hand, which further helps them fix more bugs in less time [16].

While designing SWAN, we have also taken into consideration future contributions to its underlying analysis engine. To enable contributions from the wider static analysis community, we opted for building SWAN on top of the well-established IBM T.J. Watson Libraries for Analysis (WALA) [6]. We re-used various analysis components that WALA has built over the years, and has proven to work well for analyzing different programming languages such as Java, JavaScript, and Python. This design decision enables app developers to use the various analyses that SWAN offers out of the box (e.g., taint analysis, pointer analysis, call graph construction, and inter-procedural dataflow analysis) without having to implement their own analysis. Moreover, SWAN has a modular architecture that enables researchers to build their own analyses on top of it by leveraging its existing analysis infrastructure.

Through its suite of analyses, SWAN enables new directions of research for iOS and macOS that have long existed for other platforms such as Android [1], Java [13], and JavaScript [24].

2 HOW CAN APP DEVELOPERS USE SWAN?
App developers may use SWAN through either one of its frontends: a command-line interface and a VSCode [25] extension. We will demonstrate the features of both frontends through the built-in taint analysis of SWAN, which tracks data leaks in a given program.

2.1 Command-Line Interface
SWAN provides a CLI script called run-swan-single. This script analyzes a single Swift file. The user may define sources of private information (i.e., sources), potential locations where data may leak

1To enable multi-file analysis, we are developing a frontend that supports Xcode projects. SWAN was previously able to analyze Xcode projects, but recent changes to Xcode, Swift, and macOS versioning rendered our Xcode frontend non-functional.
for debugging purposes. To enable bidirectional communication with the running SWAN JVM, the extension uses sockets.

For our example taint analysis, SWAN displays the results in the sidebar in filetree-like form. Each vulnerable path is an element in the tree with a red cross beside it. Its children are the nodes in the path. The first child is the source, the last is the sink, and any nodes in between are intermediates. The user may select any path node, and the extension will open the file at the corresponding source location. In Figure 4, the user has selected the last node. Therefore, SWAN highlights Line 13 in the source file, showing the user that tainted data reaches the function sink() as a parameter.

3 THE MAIN WORKFLOW OF SWAN

SWAN has a linear workflow where each component produces the data requested by its parent component. Figure 5 represents the workflow components and numerically labels them according to their execution order. At the beginning of the workflow, a SWAN frontend instantiates a JVM using its corresponding SWAN Driver with build and analysis options. The user may configure these options in the frontend. The build options contain arguments needed to call the Swift compiler properly. Therefore, SWAN propagates them through its components all the way to SWAN Hook, where SWAN eventually calls the Swift compiler.

To represent the program dataflow, SWAN uses the System Dependence Graph (SDG), an internal WALA data structure. To generate the SDG, SWAN Driver first calls the frontend-agnostic WALA Driver, which then calls WALA Analysis Engine to construct
Figure 5: The main workflow of SWAN.

a call graph (CG) for the input program. Using WALA libraries, WALA Driver then generates the SDG from that CG and returns it back to SWAN Driver. Besides storing call information, the CG data structure stores the class hierarchy and the Intermediate Representation (IR) of the input program. To construct the CG, WALA Analysis Engine first translates the input Swift program to WALA IR, and then generates the CG from that IR. Internally, WALA uses the Common Abstract Syntax Tree (CAst) as an IR between the input language and WALA IR itself. To translate the input Swift code to WALA CAst, the WALA Analysis Engine uses SWAN Translator.

After extensive discussions with the Apple Swift team, we determined that it is best for SWAN to consume Swift Intermediate Language (SIL), an internal IR within the Swift compiler pipeline, as input instead of the Swift Abstract Syntax Tree (AST). Swift’s AST is rather complex due to Swift’s robust language capabilities and semantics. This complexity would have required significant engineering effort to translate the AST into CAst without gaining any obvious advantages. To maintain fidelity to the original source code, SWAN operates on raw SIL before the Swift compiler applies any code transformations or optimizations. Through a Java Native Interface (JNI) call, SWAN Hook invokes the Swift compiler and provides it with a callback handler that receives the SIL during compilation. The callback handler uses a custom visitor to process all compilation contexts: SILModule, SILFunction, SILBlock, and SILInstruction. This visitor packages all information needed for translating it into JNI objects (i.e., JVM objects in C++ form). To translate the code to WALA CAst, SWAN Hook sends back the packaged information to SWAN Translator using JNI.

Once SWAN translates the input Swift code to WALA CAst, SWAN may run a client analysis (e.g., taint analysis) on the program, represented in SDG form. SWAN then returns the results to the frontend to be displayed in the appropriate format.

### 4 TRANSLATING SWIFT SOURCE CODE

SWAN leverages the existing infrastructure in WALA to translate the SIL representation of an input Swift program into WALA IR. To achieve that, SWAN first translates the input SIL to CAst, which requires an intermediate level of abstraction due to the significant differences between the two IRs. In particular, SIL uses pointers whereas CAst uses references. To serve as this abstraction, we have developed SWAN IR as a simple, hybrid IR between SIL and WALA IR. Table 1 lists the main SWAN IR instructions, which currently support all but two SIL instructions: partial_apply and assign_by_wrapper. Similar to SIL, SWAN IR is only composed of functions, basic blocks, and instructions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruction</th>
<th>Notation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>new</td>
<td>v0 := new $String</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>assign</td>
<td>v0 := v1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>literal</td>
<td>v0 := #foo, v0 := #12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>goto</td>
<td>goto bb0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conditional goto</td>
<td>cond_br v0 true: bb1, false: bb2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>throw</td>
<td>throw v0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conditional throw</td>
<td>throw if v0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>return</td>
<td>return v0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>field read</td>
<td>v0 := v1.foo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>field write</td>
<td>v0.foo := v1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>function ref</td>
<td>v0 := func_ref test.foo() -&gt;Swift.Int</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| builtin         | v0 := builtin Swift.Int.init[...]
| apply           | v0 := v1(v2, v3)              |
| try apply       | try v0(v1) normal: bb1, error: bb2 |
| binary op       | v0 := v1 + v2                 |
| unary op        | v0 := ! v1                    |

### 4.1 Field Aliases

SWAN Translator converts a SIL pointer to an object with the field value representing its underlying value. This conversion is nontrivial. For example, the SIL instruction ref_element_addr derives the address of a class field, and writes it to a value that may be accessed later. Therefore, treating the instruction as a regular field read is not sufficient. To address this problem, the SWAN IR symbol table has a special type called Field Alias that aliases a field access path. To handle instructions such as ref_element_addr, SWAN uses this type to lazily defer the field access until the field value is accessed. Figure 6 illustrates a SIL example where ref_element_addr derives the address of the class field A/foo (Line 42) and stores it to %2. This class field is later read by the local variable %4 (Line 44) through the alias %3 (Line 43). To translate this example correctly, SWAN uses the Field Alias type.

### 4.2 SIL Coroutines and Instructions

SWAN Translator simplifies complex SIL components. In particular, SWAN handles asymmetric coroutines by inlining them into their caller. SWAN also ignores instructions that we have determined not to mutate or move data in a manner that is relevant to dataflow analysis such as low-level memory management instructions.

### 4.3 SIL Builtin Functions

SIL handles many operations through builtin functions. For instance, the three data structures that Swift provides (i.e., Array, Set, and Dictionary) are entirely accessed via builtin function calls. Simple tasks such as literal manipulation and string operations are also handled using builtins. To support builtin functions in SWAN, we have developed a SWAN IR parser that reads plain-text, handwritten summaries that are injected into SWAN IR at runtime. Currently, SWAN supports numerous builtins such as literal and array operations. To fully support the main Swift data structures,
### 5.2 LLVM-Based Analyses

While LLVM [11] and Clang [12] support some low-level analyses, they are not suitable for deeper analyses of Swift applications such as precise taint tracking. This is because most Swift-specific structures and information are typically lost during the compilation of Swift source code to low-level LLVM IR. Moreover, the most useful analyses that Clang provides (i.e., memory sanitizer and thread sanitizer) are primarily dynamic analyses. Unlike static analyses, dynamic analyses require running the Swift program under analysis multiple times with various inputs to ensure enough coverage of the program behaviour. SWAN overcomes this limitation by providing a framework for static analysis of Swift programs.

The Phasar framework [20] provides call graph construction and dataflow analyses on LLVM IR, enabling it to analyze Swift applications. However, similar to other LLVM-based frameworks, Phaser focuses more on low-level LLVM constructs, whereas SWAN analyzes the SIL representation [5], preserving important information from the Swift source code such as the file and line number of the corresponding originating code, which is important for notifying developers of the locations of identified issues.

### 5.3 Swift Analysis Tools

Most publicly available analysis tools for Swift are linters such as SwiftLint [19] and Tailor [21]. Those tools only help enforce Swift code standards and best practices.

SonarSwift [23] is a static Swift code analyzer which allows users to define rules for bugs, code smells, and vulnerabilities to find in their codebase. Some existing rules listed on the website [22] include not using identical expressions on both sides of a binary operator (i.e., bug), not duplicating string literals (i.e., code smell), and avoiding using DES (i.e., vulnerability). However, at the time of this writing, Swift is not supported in the free version of the software and requires a paid license. As a result, we are unable to verify its correctness and effectiveness at Swift static analysis.

### 6 CONCLUSION

We presented SWAN, a static analysis framework for Swift that we built on top of the WALA analysis framework. SWAN provides various analyses to its users including call graph analysis, pointer analysis, and inter-procedural dataflow analysis. To enable wider adoption of SWAN, we have developed two user interfaces for it: a command-line interface and a VSCode extension. We have also designed SWAN to be a modular framework where some of its components (e.g., SWAN IR and SDG-based dataflow analysis) may be used for other WALA-based analysis frameworks. In the future, we plan to build more support for analyzing iOS apps in SWAN, which will put it on par with its Android counterparts with respect to analyzing mobile applications. SWAN is open source [17], and we welcome contributions under the Eclipse Public License 2.0 [8].
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